IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

DOLGENCORP, LLC)
Petitioner,)
v.)
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,)
Respondent.)

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Dolgencorp, LLC hereby petitions the Court for review of the Decision and Order of the National Labor Relations Board ("NLRB") entered on December 11, 2018 in NLRB Case No. 14-CA-223328. A copy of this Decision and Order, reported at 367 NLRB No. 48, is attached.

This Court has jurisdiction because the Board's decision is a final order within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 160(f) of the National Labor Relations Act, and the Petitioner is an aggrieved party. Venue properly lies in this Court under 29 U.S.C. § 160(f) because the underlying representation case and the unfair labor practice was alleged to have taken place within the geographical boundaries of this circuit.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Michael K. Taylor

Michael K. Taylor

Appellate Case: 18-3695 Page: 1 Date Filed: 12/17/2018 Entry ID: 4737422

Joseph C. Ragaglia
Michael E. Lignowski
Crystal S. Carey
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 963-5365 / (215) 963-5455
Michael.Taylor@morganlewis.com
Joseph.Ragaglia@morganlewis.com
Michael.Lignowski@morganlewis.com
Crystal.Carey@morganlewis.com

December 17, 2018

Attorneys for Petitioner, Dolgencorp, LLC

2

Appellate Case: 18-3695 Page: 2 Date Filed: 12/17/2018 Entry ID: 4737422

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 17, 2018 a true and correct copy of the foregoing Petition for Review, with attachments, was served by Federal Express on the following:

Linda J. Dreeben, Esq.
Deputy Associate General Counsel
Appellate and Supreme Court Litigation Branch
National Labor Relations Board
1015 Half Street
Washington, DC 20570

Leonard Perez Regional Director, Region 14 National Labor Relations Board 1222 Spruce Street St. Louis, MO 63103

this December 17, 2018

/s/Crystal S. Carey
Crystal S. Carey

Appellate Case: 18-3695 Page: 3 Date Filed: 12/17/2018 Entry ID: 4737422

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the bound volumes of NLRB decisions. Readers are requested to notify the Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board, Washington, D.C. 20570, of any typographical or other formal errors so that corrections can be included in the bound volumes.

Dolgencorp, LLC. *and* **United Food and Commercial Workers, Local 655.** Case 14–CA–223328

December 11, 2018

DECISION AND ORDER

BY MEMBERS MCFERRAN, KAPLAN, AND EMANUEL

This is a refusal-to-bargain case in which the Respondent is contesting the Union's certification as bargaining representative in the underlying representation proceeding. Pursuant to a charge filed on July 6, 2018, by United Food and Commercial Workers, Local 655 (the Union), the General Counsel issued the complaint on July 20, 2018, alleging that Dolgencorp, LLC (the Respondent) has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act by refusing the Union's request to recognize and bargain with it following the Union's certification in Case 14-RC-209845. (Official notice is taken of the record in the representation proceeding as defined in the Board's Rules and Regulations, Secs. 102.68 and 102.69(d). Frontier Hotel, 265 NLRB 343 (1982).) The Respondent filed an answer, admitting in part and denying in part the allegations in the complaint, and asserting affirmative defenses.

On August 7, 2018, the General Counsel filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. On August 9, 2018, the Board issued an order transferring the proceeding to the Board and a Notice to Show Cause why the motion should not be granted. The Respondent filed a response.

The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.¹

Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment

The Respondent admits its refusal to bargain, but contests the validity of the Union's certification of representative on the basis of its contentions, raised and rejected in the underlying representation proceeding, that the certification was improper because the Union's unlawful conduct tainted and invalidated the election results.

All representation issues raised by the Respondent were or could have been litigated in the prior representation proceeding. The Respondent does not offer to adduce at a hearing any newly discovered and previously unavailable evidence, nor does it allege any special circumstances that would require the Board to reexamine the decision made in the representation proceeding. We

therefore find that the Respondent has not raised any representation issue that is properly litigable in this unfair labor practice proceeding. See *Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. NLRB*, 313 U.S. 146, 162 (1941).

Accordingly, we grant the Motion for Summary Judgment.

On the entire record, the Board makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

I. JURISDICTION

At all material times, the Respondent has been a Kentucky limited liability company with a facility located in Auxvasse, Missouri, and has been engaged in the retail sale of food, snacks, health and beauty aids, cleaning supplies, family apparel, housewares, and seasonal items.²

In conducting its operations during the 12-month period ending June 30, 2018, the Respondent purchased and received at its Auxvasse, Missouri facility goods valued in excess of \$50,000 directly from points outside the state of Missouri and derived gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.

We find that the Respondent is an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6), and (7) of the Act, and that the Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

II. ALLEGED UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES

A. The Certification

Following the representation election held on December 8, 2017, the Union was certified³ on March 23, 2018, as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit:

All full-time and regular part-time Lead Sales Associates and Sales Associates employed by the Employer at its 525 East Harrison Street, Auxvasse, MO 65231 facility, EXCLUDING office clerical employees, managers, supervisors, temporary employees, confidential employees and professional employees as defined in the Act.

The Union continues to be the exclusive collectivebargaining representative of the unit employees under Section 9(a) of the Act.

367 NLRB No. 48

Appellate Case: 18-3695 Page: 4 Date Filed: 12/17/2018 Entry ID: 4737422

¹ Chairman Ring is recused and took no part in the consideration of this case.

² In its answer, the Respondent denies the allegation that at all material times it has been a Kentucky corporation, asserting instead that it is a Kentucky limited liability company. Because the General Counsel's motion for summary judgment states that the Respondent is a limited liability company, we find that the Respondent's denials do not raise any issues of fact warranting a hearing.

³ By unpublished order dated June 21, 2018, the Board denied the Respondent's request for review.

B. Refusal to Bargain

By email dated June 27, 2018, the Union requested that the Respondent bargain collectively with it as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the unit employees. By email dated July 5, 2018, the Respondent refused to do so. Since June 27, 2018, the Respondent has failed and refused to bargain with the Union.

We find that the Respondent's conduct constitutes an unlawful failure and refusal to recognize and bargain with the Union in violation of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

By failing and refusing since June 27, 2018, to recognize and bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of employees in the appropriate unit, the Respondent has engaged in unfair labor practices affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) and Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

REMEDY

Having found that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act, we shall order it to cease and desist, to bargain on request with the Union and, if an understanding is reached, to embody the understanding in a signed agreement.

To ensure that the employees are accorded the services of their selected bargaining agent for the period provided by law, we shall construe the initial period of the certification as beginning the date the Respondent begins to bargain in good faith with the Union. *Mar-Jac Poultry Co.*, 136 NLRB 785 (1962); accord *Burnett Construction Co.*, 149 NLRB 1419, 1421 (1964), enfd. 350 F.2d 57 (10th Cir. 1965); *Lamar Hotel*, 140 NLRB 226, 229 (1962), enfd. 328 F.2d 600 (5th Cir. 1964), cert. denied 379 U.S. 817 (1964).

ORDER

The National Labor Relations Board orders that the Respondent, Dolgencorp, LLC, Auxvasse, Missouri, its officers, agents, successors, and assigns, shall

- 1. Cease and desist from
- (a) Failing and refusing to recognize and bargain with United Food and Commercial Workers, Local 655, as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.
- (b) In any like or related manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act.
- 2. Take the following affirmative action necessary to effectuate the policies of the Act.

(a) On request, bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit concerning terms and conditions of employment and, if an understanding is reached, embody the understanding in a signed agreement:

All full-time and regular part-time Lead Sales Associates and Sales Associates employed by the Employer at its 525 East Harrison Street, Auxvasse, MO 65231 facility, EXCLUDING office clerical employees, managers, supervisors, temporary employees, confidential employees and professional employees as defined in the Act

- (b) Within 14 days after service by the Region, post at its facility in Auxvasse, Missouri, copies of the attached notice marked "Appendix." Copies of the notice, on forms provided by the Regional Director for Region 14, after being signed by the Respondent's authorized representative, shall be posted by the Respondent and maintained for 60 consecutive days in conspicuous places, including all places where notices to employees are customarily posted. In addition to physical posting of paper notices, notices shall be distributed electronically, such as by email, posting on an intranet or an internet site, and/or other electronic means, if the Respondent customarily communicates with its employees by such means. Reasonable steps shall be taken by the Respondent to ensure that the notices are not altered, defaced, or covered by any other material. If the Respondent has gone out of business or closed the facility involved in these proceedings, the Respondent shall duplicate and mail, at its own expense, a copy of the notice to all current employees and former employees employed by the Respondent at any time since June 27, 2018.
- (c) Within 21 days after service by the Region, file with the Regional Director for Region 14 a sworn certification of a responsible official on a form provided by the Region attesting to the steps that the Respondent has taken to comply.

Dated, Washington, D.C. December 11, 2018

Lauren McFerran,	Member

⁴ If this Order is enforced by a judgment of a United States court of appeals, the words in the notice reading "Posted by Order of the National Labor Relations Board" shall read "Posted Pursuant to a Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals Enforcing an Order of the National Labor Relations Board."

Marvin E. Kaplan, Member

William J. Emanuel, Member

(SEAL) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

APPENDIX

NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES
POSTED BY ORDER OF THE
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
An Agency of the United States Government

The National Labor Relations Board has found that we violated Federal labor law and has ordered us to post and obey this notice.

FEDERAL LAW GIVES YOU THE RIGHT TO

Form, join, or assist a union

Choose representatives to bargain with us on your behalf

Act together with other employees for your benefit and protection

Choose not to engage in any of these protected activities.

WE WILL NOT fail and refuse to recognize and bargain with the United Food and Commercial Workers, Local 655 (the Union) as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of our employees in the bargaining unit.

WE WILL NOT in any like or related manner interfere with, restrain, or coerce you in the exercise of the rights listed above.

WE WILL, on request, bargain with the Union and put in writing and sign any agreement reached on terms and conditions of employment for our employees in the following appropriate bargaining unit:

All full-time and regular part-time Lead Sales Associates and Sales Associates employed by us at our 525 East Harrison Street, Auxvasse, MO 65231 facility, EXCLUDING office clerical employees, managers, supervisors, temporary employees, confidential employees and professional employees as defined in the Act.

DOLGENCORP, LLC

The Board's decision can be found at www.nlrb.gov/case/14-CA-223328 or by using the QR code below. Alternatively, you can obtain a copy of the decision from the Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board, 1015 Half Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20570, or by calling (202) 273-1940.

